

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: February 10, 1999 (64 FR 6713).

The January 25, 1999, supplement did not affect the original proposed no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission's related evaluation of this amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 11, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room location: Brooks Memorial Library, 224 Main Street, Brattleboro, Vermont 05301.

Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation, Docket No. 50-482, Wolf Creek Generating Station, Coffey County, Kansas

Date of amendment request: March 20, 1998, as supplemented by letters dated May 28, June 30, August 28, September 4, November 20, and December 8, 1998.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revised the technical specifications (TS) to support a modification to the plant to increase the storage capacity of the spent fuel pool and increase the nominal fuel enrichment to 5% weight percent of U-235. The amendment also revised the TS to allow the storage of an additional 279 assemblies in the cask loading pit.

Date of issuance: March 22, 1999.

Effective date: March 22, 1999, to be fully implemented no later than December 31, 1999, except that the racks in the cask loading pit may be installed at a future time after the completion of the next refueling outage.

Amendment No.: 120.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-42: The amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: July 13, 1998 (63 FR 37601). The June 30, August 28, September 4, November 20, and December 8, 1998, supplemental letters provided additional clarifying information, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed, and did not change the staff's proposed no significant hazards consideration determination.

The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 22, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room locations: Emporia State University, William Allen White Library, 1200 Commercial Street, Emporia, Kansas 66801 and Washburn University School of Law Library, Topeka, Kansas 66621.

Wolf Creek Nuclear Operating Corporation, Docket No. 50-482, Wolf Creek Generating Station, Coffey County, Kansas

Date of amendment request: February 4, 1998, as supplemented by letter dated October 20, 1998.

Brief description of amendment: The amendment revises the requirements in Technical Specification Tables 3.3-3, 3.3-4 and 4.3-2 regarding the engineered safety features actuation system (ESFAS) Functional Unit 6.f, and adds a note to Table 4.3-2 to clarify the verification of time delays associated with ESFAS Functional Units 8.a and 8.b.

Date of issuance: March 23, 1999.

Effective date: March 23, 1999, to be implemented within 30 days from the date of issuance.

Amendment No.: 121.

Facility Operating License No. NPF-42: The amendment revised the Technical Specifications.

Date of initial notice in Federal Register: March 25, 1998 (63 FR 14491). The October 20, 1998, supplemental letter provided additional clarifying information, did not expand the scope of the application as originally noticed and did not change the staff's original proposed no significant hazards consideration determination. The Commission's related evaluation of the amendment is contained in a Safety Evaluation dated March 23, 1999.

No significant hazards consideration comments received: No.

Local Public Document Room locations: Emporia State University, William Allen White Library, 1200 Commercial Street, Emporia, Kansas 66801 and Washburn University School of Law Library, Topeka, Kansas 66621.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 31st day of March 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Suzanne C. Black,

Acting Director, Division of Licensing Project Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 99-8503 Filed 4-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

State of Ohio: NRC Staff Assessment of a Proposed Agreement Between the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the State of Ohio; Correction

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Notice of a proposed agreement with the State of Ohio; Correction.

SUMMARY: This document corrects two notices appearing in the **Federal Register** on March 18, 1999 (64 FR 13453), and March 25, 1999 (64 FR 14473). This action is necessary to correct the comment period expiration date in each notice.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David L. Meyer, Chief, Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration, Washington, D.C. 20555-0001, telephone 301-415-7162, e-mail dml1@nrc.gov.

1. In the **Federal Register** dated March 18, 1999, on page 13453, in the second column, under the **DATES** heading, April 19, 1999, is corrected to read April 12, 1999.

2. In the **Federal Register** dated March 25, 1999, on page 14473, in the second column, under the **DATES** heading, April 26, 1999, is corrected to read April 12, 1999.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 1st day of April 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Meyer,

Chief Rules and Directives Branch, Division of Administrative Services, Office of Administration.

[FR Doc. 99-8599 Filed 4-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

Request for Extension of Approval of a Collection of Information Under the Paperwork Reduction Act; Customer Service Focus Groups and Surveys

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Notice of request for extension of OMB approval.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation is requesting that the Office of Management and Budget extend its approval of a collection of information under the Paperwork Reduction Act. The purpose of the information collection, which will be conducted through focus groups and surveys over a three-year period, is to help the PBGC assess the efficiency and effectiveness with which it serves its customers and to design actions to address identified problems.

DATES: Written comments should be submitted to OMB at the address below within 30 days after April 7, 1999.

ADDRESSES: All written comments should be addressed to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, OMB, Attention: Desk Officer for the

Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 10235, Washington, DC 20503. The request for approval and copies of the proposed collection of information will be available for public inspection at the PBGC Communications and Public Affairs Department, suite 240, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, between the hours of 9 a.m. and 4 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Marc L. Jordan, Attorney, Office of the General Counsel, Suite 340, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005, 202-326-4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1-800-877-8339 and ask to be connected to 202-326-4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The PBGC is requesting that OMB extend its approval, for a three-year period, of a generic collection of information consisting of customer satisfaction focus groups and surveys (OMB control number 1212-0053; expires April 30, 1999). The collection is in furtherance of the goals described in Executive Order 12862, Setting Customer Service Standards, which states that, in order to carry out the principles of the National Partnership for Reinventing Government (formerly, the National Performance Review), the Federal Government must be customer-driven. The Executive Order directs all executive departments and agencies that provide significant services directly to the public to provide those services in a manner that seeks to meet the customer service standards established in the Executive Order.

This collection of information enables the PBGC to explore issues of mutual concern (e.g., kind and quality of desired services) with its major outside client groups, i.e., participants and beneficiaries, plan sponsors and their affiliates, plan administrators, pension practitioners and others involved in the establishment, operation and termination of plans covered by the PBGC's insurance program. The areas of concern to the PBGC and its client groups change over time, and it is important that the PBGC have the ability to evaluate customer concerns quickly.

Participation in the focus groups and surveys will be voluntary. The PBGC will consult with OMB regarding each specific information collection during the approval period.

This voluntary collection of information will put a slight burden on

a very small percentage of the public. The PBGC expects to conduct focus groups involving a total of approximately 225 persons each year, with a total annual burden of approximately 675 hours, including travel time. (Some portion of this time may be spent completing surveys at focus group meetings.) In addition, the PBGC expects to distribute written surveys to approximately 1,600 persons each year (in most cases as an adjunct to a focus group), with a total annual burden of approximately 200 hours.

On January 15, 1999, the PBGC published in the **Federal Register** a notice of intention to request extension of OMB approval of this collection. No comments were received in response to the notice.

Issued at Washington, D.C., this 31st day of March, 1999.

Stuart Sirkin,

Director, Corporate Policy and Research Department, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 99-8586 Filed 4-6-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708-01-P

RAILROAD RETIREMENT BOARD

Privacy Act of 1974; Proposed Changes to System of Records

AGENCY: Railroad Retirement Board.

ACTION: Notice of a proposed routine use.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this document is to give notice of a proposed routine use to one of the RRB's Privacy Act systems of records.

DATES: The new routine use will be effective 30 calendar days from the date of this publication (May 7, 1999), unless comments are received before this date which would result in a contrary determination.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to Beatrice Ezerski, Secretary to the Board, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LeRoy Blommaert, Privacy Act Officer, Railroad Retirement Board, 844 North Rush Street, Chicago, Illinois 60611-2092, (312) 751-4548.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Discussion of Proposed Routine Use

The Railroad Retirement Board currently furnishes the Bureau of Labor Statistics with the city, state, and five digit zip code of each person who receives unemployment benefits from the RRB. The Social Security Number is scrambled so that the information

furnished is not individually identifiable. The Bureau uses this information to aggregate unemployment claims by county in its Local Area Unemployment Statistics (LAUS) program. The Bureau has requested that in addition the RRB furnish the physical street address. Furnishing the physical street address would, in cases where the address is a single family residence or where the apartment number is part of the address, identify the household of the person who received unemployment benefits. The Bureau has requested this additional information because they have found that a city and zip code does not always produce a unique county location (a zip code area may straddle two or more counties). A physical street address will always produce a valid county location.

The RRB currently discloses scrambled SSN, city and state address information pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(5), namely, "to a recipient who has provided the agency with advance adequate written assurance that the record will be used solely as a statistical research or reporting record and the record is to be transferred in a form that is not individually identifiable." It is the RRB's interpretation that furnishing the physical address requires the publication of a routine use because of the possibility that the record with this additional information would be individually identifiable.

II. Compatibility of Proposed Routine Use

We are proposing this routine use in accordance with the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a(b)(3)). The Privacy Act permits the disclosure of information about individuals without their consent for a routine use where the information will be used for a purpose which is compatible with the purpose for which the information was originally collected. The Office of management and Budget has indicated that a "compatible" use is a use which is necessary and proper. The RRB considers the disclosure of statistical information to the Department of Labor for use in developing unemployment statistics on a local area basis a proper use. To the extent that the disclosure of the physical address is necessary to achieve accurate local area unemployment statistics, the RRB believes the use is necessary as well.

III. Altered system report

On March 29, 1999, the Railroad Retirement Board filed an altered system report for this system with the chairmen of the designated Senate and House committees and with the Office of management and Budget. This was