The Attorney General's order shall be stated in writing and shall be transmitted to all parties in the case and to the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer.

(3) If the Attorney General remands the case for further administrative proceedings, the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer or the Administrative Law Judge shall conduct further proceedings consistent with the Attorney General's order. Any subsequent final order of the Administrative Law Judge or the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer shall be subject to administrative review in accordance with § 68.54 and this section.

(d) Final agency order. (1) The Attorney General's order pursuant to paragraph (c) of this section (other than a remand as provided in paragraph (c)(3)) shall become the final agency order on the date of the Attorney General's order.

(2) If the Attorney General declines the Commissioner's request for referral of a case pursuant to paragraph (b) of this section, or does not issue a written notice of acceptance within sixty (60) days of the date of the Commissioner's request, then the final order of the Administrative Law Judge or the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer that was the subject of a referral pursuant to paragraph (b) shall become the final agency order on the day after that sixty (60) day period has expired.

§ 68.56 Judicial review of a final agency order in cases arising under section 274A or 274C.

A person or entity adversely affected by a final agency order may file, within forty-five (45) days after the date of the final agency order, a petition in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit for review of the final agency order. Failure to request review by the Chief Administrative Hearing Officer of a final order by an Administrative Law Judge shall not prevent a party from seeking judicial review.

§ 68.57 Judicial review of the final agency order of an Administrative Law Judge in cases arising under section 274B.

Any person aggrieved by a final agency order issued under § 68.52(d) may, within sixty (60) days after entry of the order, seek review of the final agency order in the United States Court of Appeals for the circuit in which the violation is alleged to have occurred or in which the employer resides or transacts business. If a final agency order issued under § 68.52(d) is not appealed, the Special Counsel (or, if the Special Counsel fails to act, the person filing the charge, other than the Immigration and Naturalization Service officer) may file a petition in the United States District Court for the district in which the violation that is the subject of the final agency order is alleged to have occurred, or in which the respondent resides or transacts business, requesting that the order be enforced.

§ 68.58 Filing of the official record.

Upon timely receipt of notification that an appeal has been taken, a certified copy of the record will be filed promptly with the appropriate United States Court.

Dated: January 8, 1999.

Janet Reno,
Attorney General.

[FR Doc. 99–1899 Filed 2–11–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–30–P

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

29 CFR Part 4044

Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Interest Assumptions for Valuing Benefits

AGENCY: Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation’s regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans prescribes interest assumptions for valuing benefits under terminating single-employer plans. This final rule amends the regulation to adopt interest assumptions set forth in this part. The PBGC will be 4.00 percent for the period during which a benefit is in pay status and during any years preceding the benefit’s placement in pay status. The lump sum interest assumptions are unchanged from those in effect for February 1999.

The PBGC has determined that notice and public comment on this amendment are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. This finding is based on the need to determine and issue new interest assumptions promptly so that the assumptions can reflect, as accurately as possible, current market conditions.

Because of the need to provide immediate guidance for the valuation of benefits in plans with valuation dates during March 1999, the PBGC finds that good cause exists for making the assumptions set forth in this amendment effective less than 30 days after publication.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a “significant regulatory action” under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this amendment, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply. See 5 U.S.C. 601(2).

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 4044

Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR part 4044 is amended as follows:

PART 4044—ALLOCATION OF ASSETS IN SINGLE-EMPLOYER PLANS

1. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:
Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

2. In appendix B, a new entry is added to Table I, and Rate Set 65 is added to Table II, as set forth below.

The introductory text of each table is republished for the convenience of the reader and remains unchanged.

Appendix B to Part 4044—Interest Rates Used to Value Annuities and Lump Sums

TABLE I.—ANNuity VALUES

| March 1999 | .0530 | 1–20 | .0525 | >20 | N/A | N/A |

TABLE II.—LUMP SUM VALUES

For valuation dates occurring in the month—

The values of $i_t$ are:

- For $t = i_1$ for $t = i_2$ for $t = i_3$

| March 1999 | .0530 | 1–20 | .0525 | >20 | N/A | N/A |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rate set for plans with a valuation date</th>
<th>Immediate annuity rate (percent)</th>
<th>Deferred annuities (percent)</th>
<th>On or after</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>$i_1$</th>
<th>$i_2$</th>
<th>$i_3$</th>
<th>$n_1$</th>
<th>$n_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>03–1–99</td>
<td>04–1–99</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Issued in Washington, DC, on this 8th day of February 1999.

John Seal,
Acting Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 99–3467 Filed 2–11–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE
Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 199
[DoD 6010.8–R]
RIN 0720–AA30

Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS); Individual Case Management

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.
ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This final rule implements provisions of the 1993 National Defense Authorization Act which allows the Secretary of Defense to establish a case management program for CHAMPUS beneficiaries with extraordinary medical or psychological disorders and to allow such beneficiaries medical or psychological services, supplies, or durable medical equipment excluded by law or regulation as a TRICARE/CHAMPUS benefit. Under this program, waiver of benefit limits or exclusions to the basic TRICARE/CHAMPUS program may be authorized for beneficiaries when the provision of such services or supplies is cost effective and clinically appropriate, as compared to historical or projected TRICARE/CHAMPUS utilization of health care services. Such waivers will also provide families in crisis time for transition to other sources of support when TRICARE/CHAMPUS benefits have been exhausted. This case management program is designed to provide a cost-effective plan of care by targeting appropriate resources to meet the individual needs of the beneficiary.


FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: CDR Tracy Malone, TRICARE Management Activity, (703) 681–1745.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Civilian Health and Medical Program of the Uniformed Services (CHAMPUS) supplements the availability of health care in military hospitals and clinics.

Statutory Authority

The case management program is based on the authority of 10 U.S.C. 1079(a)(17), which provides:

The Secretary of Defense may establish a program for the individual case management of a person covered by this section or section 1086 of this title who has extraordinary medical or psychological disorders and, under such a program, may waive benefit limitations contained in paragraph (5) and (13) of this subsection or section 1077(b)(1) of this title and authorize the payment for comprehensive home health care services, supplies, and equipment if the Secretary determines that such a waiver is cost effective and appropriate.

Statutory and Legislative History

This provision was enacted in 1992 by Congress as section 704 of the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993, Pub. L. 102–484, Oct. 23, 1992. It is substantively identical to a provision recommended by the Department of Defense in a report to Congress submitted a few months earlier by the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) and entitled, "Report to Congress: Comprehensive Home Health Care as a CHAMPUS Benefit." The 1992 Report to Congress and statutory