facilities as if the business itself had been disposed of. The staff would object to the allocation of goodwill to the disposed manufacturing facilities.

Paragraph 19 of SFAS 121 requires disclosure of the results of operations of assets held for disposal. If revenues attributable to assets to be disposed of, that remain in operation for some period of time prior to their disposal, cannot be segregated because substantially the same revenues will continue after the assets are disposed of, the amount of the benefit from suspending depreciation, in accordance with SFAS 121, paragraph 16, should be disclosed. The effect associated with assets held for disposal should be discussed in Management’s Discussion and Analysis (MD&A), if material.

Facts: Assume the same fact pattern as for Question 5, except that the four manufacturing facilities will be shut down, but not disposed of or abandoned. The four manufacturing facilities do not meet the criteria necessary to be classified as “to be disposed of” under SFAS 121 but are impaired on a “held and used” basis under SFAS 121. Company A intends to retain the four facilities in case the need arises in the future for further manufacturing capacity.

Question 6: Would the staff object to the company’s proposal to recognize an impairment loss based on the excess of the carrying amount of goodwill and fixed assets over fair value?

Interpretive Response: Yes. Paragraph 12 of SFAS 121 specifies: “If an asset being tested for recoverability was acquired in a business combination accounted for using the purchase method, the goodwill that arose in that transaction shall be accounted for as part of the asset grouping * * * in determining recoverability. If some but not all of the assets acquired in that transaction are being tested, goodwill shall be allocated to the assets being tested for recoverability on a pro rata basis using the relative fair values of the long-lived assets and identifiable intangibles acquired at the acquisition date unless there is evidence to suggest that some other method of associating the goodwill with those assets is more appropriate.”

In the above fact pattern, the staff believes that it is inappropriate to allocate the carrying amount of the goodwill balance to the four facilities being evaluated for impairment. In this instance, the goodwill that existed at the time Company B was acquired principally as the result of a customer base, marketing activities, existing product lines and new products being developed. It did not relate to the fixed assets but, rather, the ongoing operations of the business, which have not been reduced in any way. The goodwill represents the inherent value of the going concern element of Company B and the ability of the entity to generate a return in excess of the return that could be generated on the acquired assets individually, all of which are still in place. The staff contrasts this scenario with one where facilities are eliminated in conjunction with a subsequent decision to abandon the product or business line housed in those facilities. If the revenue producing activity and the facilities had been acquired in a business combination giving rise to recognition of goodwill, a portion of goodwill should be allocated to the facilities based on their relative fair value, unless another allocation method is more appropriate.

Question 7: Has the staff expressed any views with respect to company-determined estimates of cash flows used for assessing and measuring impairment of assets under SFAS 121?

Interpretive Response: In providing guidance on the development of cash flows for purposes of applying the provisions of SFAS 121, paragraph 9 of that standard indicates that estimates of expected future cash flows should be the best estimate based on reasonable and supportable assumptions and projections. Additionally, paragraph 9 indicates that all available evidence should be considered in developing estimates of expected future cash flows and that the weight given to the evidence should be commensurate with the extent to which the evidence can be verified objectively.

The staff recognizes that various factors, including management’s judgments and assumptions about the business plans and strategies, affect the development of future cash flow projections for purposes of applying SFAS 121. The staff, however, cautions registrants that the judgments and assumptions made for purposes of applying SFAS 121 must be consistent with other financial statement calculations and disclosures and disclosures in MD&A. The staff also expects that forecasts made for purposes of applying SFAS 121 be consistent with other forward-looking information prepared by the company, such as that used for internal budgets, incentive compensation plans, discussions with lenders or third parties, and/or reporting to management or the board of directors. For example, the staff has reviewed a fact pattern where a registrant developed cash flow projections for purposes of applying the provisions of SFAS 121 using one set of assumptions and utilized a second, more conservative set of assumptions for purposes of determining whether deferred tax valuation allowances were necessary when applying the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. In this case, the staff objected to the use of inconsistent assumptions.

In addition to disclosure of key assumptions used in the development of cash flow projections, the staff also has required discussion in MD&A of the implications of assumptions. For example, do the projections indicate that a company is likely to violate debt covenants in the future? What are the ramifications to the cash flow projections used in the impairment analysis? If growth rates used in the impairment analysis are lower than those used by outside analysts, has the company had discussions with the analysts regarding their overly optimistic projections? Has the company appropriately informed the market and its shareholders of its reduced expectations for the future that are sufficient to cause an impairment charge? The staff believes that cash flow projections used in the impairment analysis must be both internally consistent with the company’s other projections and externally consistent with financial statement and other public disclosures.

---

**PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION**

29 CFR Parts 4011 and 4022

**Disclosure to Participants; Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-employer Plans**

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule amends the appendix to the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation’s regulation on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans by adding the maximum guaranteeable pension benefit that may be paid by the PBGC with respect to a plan participant in a single-employer pension plan that terminates in 2000. This rule also amends the PBGC’s regulation on Disclosure to Participants by adding information on 2000 maximum guaranteed benefit.
amounts. The amendment is necessary because the maximum guarantee amount changes each year, based on changes in the contribution and benefit base under section 230 of the Social Security Act. The effect of the amendment is to advise plan participants and beneficiaries of the increased maximum guarantee amount for 2000.

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 1, 2000.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026; 202–326–4024. (For TTY/TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 4022(b) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 provides for certain limitations on benefits guaranteed by the PBGC in terminating single-employer pension plans covered under Title IV of ERISA. One of the limitations, set forth in section 4022(b)(3)(B), is a dollar ceiling on the amount of the monthly benefit that may be paid to a plan participant (in the form of a life annuity beginning at age 65) by the PBGC. The ceiling is equal to “$750 multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the contribution and benefit base (determined under section 230 of the Social Security Act) in effect at the time the plan terminates and the denominator of which is such contribution and benefit base in effect in calendar year 1974 [$13,200].” This formula is also set forth in §4022.22(b) of the PBGC’s regulation on Benefits Payable in Terminated Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR Part 4022). The appendix to Part 4022 lists, for each year beginning with 1974, the maximum guaranteeable benefit payable by the PBGC to participants in single-employer plans that have terminated in that year.

Section 230(d) of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. 430(d)) provides special rules for determining the contribution and benefit base for purposes of ERISA section 4022(b)(3)(B). Each year the Social Security Administration determines, and notifies the PBGC of, the contribution and benefit base to be used by the PBGC under these provisions, and the PBGC publishes an amendment to the appendix to Part 4022 to add the guarantee limit for the coming year.

The PBGC has been notified by the Social Security Administration that, under section 230 of the Social Security Act, $56,700 is the contribution and benefit base that is to be used to calculate the PBGC maximum guaranteeable benefit for 2000. Accordingly, the formula under section 4022(b)(3)(B) of ERISA and 29 CFR §4022.22(b) is: $750 multiplied by $56,700/$13,200. Thus, the maximum monthly benefit guaranteed by the PBGC in 2000 is $3,221.59 per month in the form of a life annuity beginning at age 65. This amendment updates the appendix to Part 4022 to add this maximum guaranteeable amount for plans that terminate in 2000. (If a benefit is payable in a different form or begins at a different age, the maximum guaranteeable amount is the actuarial equivalent of $3,221.59 per month.)

Section 4011 of ERISA requires plan administrators of certain underfunded plans to provide notice to plan participants and beneficiaries of the plan’s funding status and the limits of the PBGC’s guarantee. The PBGC’s regulation on Disclosure to Participants (29 CFR Part 4011) implements the statutory notice requirement. This rule amends Appendix B to the regulation on Disclosure to Participants by adding information on 2000 maximum guaranteeable benefits. Plan administrators may, subject to the requirements of that regulation, include this information in participant notices.

Because the maximum guaranteeable benefit is determined according to the formula in section 4022(b)(3)(B) of ERISA, and these amendments make no change in its method of calculation but simply list 2000 maximum guaranteeable benefit amounts. Plan administrators may, subject to the requirements of that regulation, include this information in participant notices.

The following table lists by year the maximum guaranteeable monthly benefit payable in the form of a life annuity commencing at age 65 as described by §4022.22(b) to a participant in a plan that terminated in that year:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Maximum guaranteeable monthly benefit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>3,221.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PART 4011—DISCLOSURE TO PARTICIPANTS

3. The authority citation for Part 4011 continues to read as follows:


4. Appendix B to part 4011 is amended by adding a new entry to the table to read as follows. The introductory text is reproduced for the convenience of the reader and remains unchanged.
### APPENDIX B TO PART 4011—TABLE OF MAXIMUM GUARANTEED BENEFITS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Monthly</th>
<th>Annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>$3,221.59</td>
<td>$38,659.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62</td>
<td>$2,545.06</td>
<td>$30,540.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>$2,094.03</td>
<td>$25,128.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>$1,449.72</td>
<td>$17,396.64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Issued in Washington, DC, this 19th day of November, 1999.

David M. Strauss,
Executive Director, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

[FR Doc. 99–31044 Filed 11–30–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7708–01–P

### PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

29 CFR Part 4044

Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans; Valuation of Benefits and Assets; Expected Retirement Age

**AGENCY:** Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation.

**ACTION:** Final rule.

**SUMMARY:** This rule amends the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation’s regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans by substituting a new table that applies to any plan being terminated either in a distress termination or involuntarily by the PBGC with a valuation date falling in 2000, and is used to determine expected retirement ages for plan participants. This table is needed in order to compute the value of early retirement benefits and, thus, the total value of benefits under the plan.

**EFFECTIVE DATE:** January 1, 2000.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Harold J. Ashner, Assistant General Counsel, Office of the General Counsel, Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, 1200 K Street, NW., Washington, DC 20005–4026; 202–326–4024. (For TTY/ TDD users, call the Federal relay service toll-free at 1–800–877–8339 and ask to be connected to 202–326–4024.)

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The PBGC’s regulation on Allocation of Assets in Single-Employer Plans (29 CFR part 4044) sets forth (in subpart B) the methods for valuing plan benefits of terminating single-employer plans covered under Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. Under ERISA section 4041(c), guaranteed benefits and benefit liabilities under a plan that is undergoing a distress termination must be valued in accordance with part 4044, subpart B. In addition, when the PBGC terminates an underfunded plan involuntarily pursuant to ERISA Section 4042(a), it uses the subpart B valuation rules to determine the amount of the plan’s underfunding.

Under § 4044.51(b), early retirement benefits are valued based on the annuity starting date, if a retirement date has been selected, or the expected retirement age, if the annuity starting date is not known on the valuation date. Sections 4044.55 through 4044.57 set forth rules for determining the expected retirement ages for plan participants entitled to early retirement benefits. Appendix D of part 4044 contains tables to be used in determining the expected early retirement ages.

Table I in appendix D (Selection of Retirement Rate Category) is used to determine whether a participant has a low, medium, or high probability of retiring early. The determination is based on the year a participant would reach “unreduced retirement age” (i.e., the earlier of the normal retirement age or the age at which an unreduced benefit is first payable) and the participant’s monthly benefit at unreduced retirement age. The table applies only to plans with valuation dates in the current year and is updated annually by the PBGC to reflect changes in the cost of living, etc.

Tables II–A, II–B, and II–C (Expected Retirement Ages for Individuals in the Low, Medium, and High Categories respectively) are used to determine the expected retirement age after the probability of early retirement has been determined using Table I. These tables establish, by probability category, the expected retirement age based on both the earliest age a participant could retire under the plan and the unreduced retirement age. This expected retirement age is used to compute the value of the early retirement benefit and, thus, the total value of benefits under the plan.

This document amends appendix D to replace Table I–99 with Table I–00 in order to provide an updated correlation, appropriate for calendar year 2000, between the amount of a participant’s benefit and the probability that the participant will elect early retirement. Table I–00 will be used to value benefits in plans with valuation dates during calendar year 2000.

The PBGC has determined that notice of and public comment on this rule are impracticable and contrary to the public interest. Plan administrators need to be able to estimate accurately the value of plan benefits as early as possible before initiating the termination process. For that purpose, if a plan has a valuation date in 2000, the plan administrator needs the updated table being promulgated in this rule. Accordingly, the public interest is best served by issuing this table expeditiously, without an opportunity for notice and comment, to allow as much time as possible to estimate the value of plan benefits with the proper table for plans with valuation dates in early 2000.

The PBGC has determined that this action is not a “significant regulatory action” under the criteria set forth in Executive Order 12866.

Because no general notice of proposed rulemaking is required for this regulation, the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 does not apply (5 U.S.C. 601(2)).

List of Subjects in 29 CFR Part 4044

Pension insurance, Pensions.

In consideration of the foregoing, 29 CFR part 4044 is amended as follows:

**PART 4044—[AMENDED]**

1. The authority citation for part 4044 continues to read as follows:

   Authority: 29 U.S.C. 1301(a), 1302(b)(3), 1341, 1344, 1362.

2. Appendix D to part 4044 is amended by removing Table I–99 and adding in its place Table I–00 to read as follows:

   **Appendix D to Part 4044—Tables Used to Determine Expected Retirement Age**