	Case 2:13-bk-38974-TD Doc 251 Filed ( Main Documen	09/08/14 Entered 09/08/14 13:00:05 Desc t Page 1 of 17	C	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	STEPHANIE YONEKURA, Acting U.S. Attorney LEON W. WEIDMAN, Chief, Civil Division ELAN S. LEVEY, Assistant U.S. Attorney 300 North Los Angeles Street, Room 7516 Los Angeles, California 90012 Phone: 213-894-3997 Fax: 213-894-7819 Email: elan.levey@usdoj.gov  ISRAEL GOLDOWITZ, Chief Counsel KAREN L. MORRIS, Deputy Chief Counsel SARA B. EAGLE, Assistant Chief Counsel MELISSA HARCLERODE, Attorney (admitted pro hac vice) PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION Office of the Chief Counsel 1200 K Street, N.W., Suite 320 Washington, D.C. 20005 Telephone: (202) 326-4020, ext. 6733 Facsimile: (202) 326-4112 Email: harclerode.melissa@pbgc.gov			
13	Attorneys for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation			
14	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
16	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
17	[LOS ANGELES DIVISION]			
18				
19	In re	) CASE NO.: 2:13-bk-38974-TD		
20	BAY AREA FINANCIAL	Chapter 11		
21	CORPORATION,	NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION		
22	Debtor and Debtor in Possession.	TO ALLOW LATE-FILED CLAIMS;MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN		
24		SUPPORT; DECLARATION OF KYLE MCCORMICK IN SUPPORT THEREOF		
25		Hearing Scheduled for DATE: October 1, 2014		
26		TIME: 10:00 a.m. CRTM: 1345		
27		255 East Temple Street Los Angeles, CA 90012		
28				
			l	

# TO THE HONORABLE THOMAS B. DONOVAN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE, DEBTOR'S COUNSEL, UNITED STATES TRUSTEE, AND ALL PARTIES-IN-INTEREST:

Melissa Harclerode, Counsel for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC"), moves this Court for an Order, as a matter of due process and pursuant to Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure ("FRBP") 9006(b)(1), deeming the attached, contingent Proofs of Claims timely filed.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that this Motion is made on the grounds that neither three individuals who were participants (the "Plan Participants") in the Bay Area Financial

Corporation Defined Benefit Plan (the "Pension Plan"), nor PBGC were initially scheduled as creditors of Debtor Bay Area Financial Corporation (the "Debtor"). Additionally, neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC received notice of the commencement of this Chapter 11

bankruptcy. And the Plan Participants and PBGC were originally omitted from all the master mailing lists and proofs of service in this case. As such, neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC had notice of the Proof of Claim filing bar date until it had already passed, and therefore, did not file claims prior to the bar date. Neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC were afforded their due process rights to timely file proofs of claims. Furthermore, under FRBP 9006(b)(1), the Plan Participants' and PBGC's neglect in timely filing proofs of claims were outside of their control and excusable.

PBGC has authority to file proofs of claims as a surety of the Debtor, pursuant to Section 501(b) of the Bankruptcy Code (the "Code") and FRBP 3005.

This Motion is based upon this Notice, the attached Memorandum of Points and

Authorities and exhibits hereto; the attached Declaration in support filed concurrently herewith;

18 19

20 21

22 23

25

24

26 27

28

such other oral and documentary evidence as may be presented to this Court at or prior to the hearing on this Motion.

Notice of hearing on the Motion will be served upon all Creditors and interested parties. This Motion has been served upon those parties that have requested special notice in this case, the United States Trustee, prospective bidders and those holding a lien of record against the Property.

Pursuant to Local Bankruptcy Rule 9013-1(f)(1), any party wishing to respond to the Motion must file a written response with the Clerk of the Court and serve it upon counsel named in the upper left hand corner of this Notice and upon the Office of the United States Trustee, 915 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 1850, Los Angeles, CA 90017, at least fourteen (14) days prior to the hearing. Failure to comply with this procedure will be deemed by the Court to be consent to the granting of the relief requested.

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY DATED: September 8, 2014 CORPORATION

/s/ Melissa Harclerode

ISRAEL GOLDOWITZ

Chief Counsel

KAREN L. MORRIS

Deputy Chief Counsel

SARA B. EAGLE

Assistant Chief Counsel

MELISSA HARCLERODE

Attorney

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY

CORPORATION

Office of the Chief Counsel

1200 K Street NW, Suite 340

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 326-4020 ext. 6733

Facsimile: (202) 326-4112 Harclerode.melissa@pbgc.gov

### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

### I. STATEMENT OF RELEVANT FACTS

- 1. PBGC is a wholly-owned United States government corporation, and an agency of the United States, that administers the defined benefit pension plan termination insurance program under Title IV of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. §§ 1301-1461. PBGC guarantees the payment of certain pension benefits upon the termination of a single-employer pension plan covered by Title IV of ERISA.
- 2. Title IV provides the exclusive means of terminating a defined benefit pension plan. 29 U.S.C. § 1341(a)(1). An employer may terminate a plan in a standard termination under Title IV only if the plan has sufficient assets to cover all benefit liabilities. 29 U.S.C. § 1341(b).
- 3. After plan assets are distributed in a standard termination, PBGC continues to have authority over matters relating to the plan pursuant to 29 U.S.C. § 1341(b)(4), and is required under 29 U.S.C. § 1303(a) to audit a statistically significant number of standard terminations to determine, *inter alia*, whether affected parties have received the benefits to which they are entitled under the provisions of the plan (in effect on the date of plan termination) and any applicable regulations. *See* 29 U.S.C. §§ 1303(a), 1341(b)(1)(D), and 1341(b)(3)(A).
- 4. The Pension Plan was established July 1, 2001, as a defined benefit pension plan under the ERISA. The Pension Plan was covered under Title IV of ERISA ("Title IV"). 29 U.S.C. § 1321. The Pension Plan was terminated in a standard termination, effective December 31, 2009, under section 4041(b) of ERISA. See 29 U.S.C. § 4041(b). To complete a standard termination, however, Title IV requires that the plan be sufficient for all benefit liabilities,

determined "as of the termination date." 29 U.S.C. § 1341(b)(1)(D). Upon a PBGC audit of the

- Plan's standard termination, PBGC determined that additional benefits were owed to three individuals, the Plan Participants. See 29 U.S.C. §§ 1303(a), 1341(b)(4).

  5. On March 8, 2013, PBGC sent its initial determination on the Plan's standard termination audit to the Debtor indicating that additional benefits were owed to the Plan
- termination audit to the Debtor indicating that additional benefits were owed to the Plan Participants (the "Initial Determination"). The determination directed the Debtor to recalculate the lump sum distributions made from the Plan to the Plan Participants, resubmit those calculations to PBGC for approval, and (after receiving PBGC approval) pay the Plan Participants the additional benefits they were owed. *See* Declaration of Kyle McCormick ¶ 3 (the "Declaration"); *see also* 29 C.F.R. § 40003.21.
- 6. The Debtor had 30 days from the issuance of the Initial Determination to make any request for reconsideration. 29 C.F.R. § 4003.32.
  - 7. The Debtor did not file a request for reconsideration. See Declaration ¶ 4.
- 8. Accordingly, 31 days after PBGC issued the Initial Determination, it became final. *Id.*; 29 C.F.R. §§ 4003.22, 4003.32.
- 9. On March 16, 2014, the Debtor provided PBGC with revised calculations of the remaining lump sum amounts owed to the Plan Participants. *See* Declaration ¶ 5.
- 10. Those calculations totaled \$119,032.43 and included interest at the Debtor's prescribed rate of 5%, starting from the date the initial payments were made to the Plan Participants (September 30, 2010) until the approximated date of when the additional payments would be made to the Plan Participants (April 30, 2014). The calculations show that Ashton Pitts is owed an additional \$115,165.37 (\$96,692.68 in benefits, plus \$18,472.69 in interest),

- Wilma Pitts is owed an additional \$921.34 (\$773.56 in benefits, plus \$147.78 in interest), and Elsa Raigosa is owed an additional \$2,945.72. (\$2,473.22 in benefits, plus \$472.50 in interest).
- 11. The attached claims, totaling \$116,637.03, include the benefit amounts for each participant (noted in paragraph 10) with interest at the Debtor's prescribed rate, pro-rated to the petition date of this Chapter 11 bankruptcy (December 9, 2013). See attached Exhibits 1, 2, and 3. See Declaration ¶ 10.
- 12. On December 9, 2013, without notice to the Plan Participants or PBGC, the Debtor filed a voluntary Chapter 11 petition in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California (Docket No. 1).
- 13. On December 12, 2013, without notice to the Plan Participants or PBGC, the Court issued a Notice of Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Case, Meeting of Creditors, and Deadlines (Docket No. 13) setting the meeting of creditors for January 14, 2014.
- 14. The Debtor originally filed his Schedules on December 23, 2013 (Docket No. 29). Neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC were identified or scheduled as creditors, jointly or individually, anywhere on these original Schedules.
- 15. On February 13, 2014, without notice to the Plan Participants or PBGC, the Debtor filed a Notice of Bar Date for Filing Proof of Claim in an Individual Chapter 11 (Docket No. 59) setting the bar date for March 31, 2014. Neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC received notice of the claims bar date.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> These claims reflect revised interest calculations that take into account the commencement of this Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Exhibit 1 is PBGC's claim filed on behalf of Mr. Ashton Pitts. Exhibit 2 is PBGC's claim filed on behalf of Ms. Wilma Pitts. Exhibit 3 is PBGC's claim filed on behalf of Ms. Elsa Raigosa.

- 16. On March 25, 2014, PBGC notified the Debtor that the Debtor's final calculations of the additional benefits owed the Plan Participants were approved, and requested the Debtor make the additional payments to the Plan Participants. See Declaration ¶ 6.
- 17. The Debtor provided no acknowledgement of PBGC's final approval of the Debtor's final lump sum calculations. Additionally, the Debtor did not make any additional payments to the Plan Participants. *See* Declaration at ¶ 7.
- 18. Upon PBGC contacting the Debtor's representative, Charlie Kunz from Biggs & Co., regarding the Debtor's failure to comply with PBGC's now final determination, PBGC was informed that the Debtor filed a Chapter 11 proceeding in December 2013. Declaration at ¶ 9.
- 19. On July 23, 2014, the Debtor filed an amended Schedule F (Docket No. 214), which lists the Plan Participants as creditors, and PBGC for notice purposes only.<sup>3</sup>

#### II. ARGUMENT

### A. The Plan Participants, As Known Creditors, and PBGC, As a Known Surety, Were Entitled to Receive Notice of Debtor's Bankruptcy Proceeding.

Neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC received notice of the Debtor's bankruptcy proceeding despite PBGC's uncontested March 2013 determination that the Debtor owed additional benefits to the Plan Participants, the Debtor's continued communication with PBGC, up to and after the bankruptcy petition date, regarding the amount of additional benefits owed, and PBGC's March 2014 approval of the Debtor's revised calculations. *See* Declaration ¶ 3.

PBGC only became aware of the bankruptcy when it contacted the Debtor regarding its failure to comply with its uncontested determination. PBGC and the Plan Participants only received notice of the bankruptcy once they were added to the service list when the Debtor filed

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The claims for the Plan Participants listed in the amended Schedule F do not accurately portray the interest owed, as pro-rated to the petition date of this Chapter 11 bankruptcy.

an Amended Schedule F (Docket No. 214) on July 23, 2014, well after the Proof of Claims bar

date.

## B. Absent Filing of Claims by the Plan Participants, PBGC Has Authority to File the Claims of the Plan Participants.

PBGC is a surety of the Debtor for the remaining additional benefits owed to the Plan Participants. See 29 U.S.C. 4022. The Debtor owes additional benefits to these Plan Participants because they were participants in the Pension Plan and were not provided their full benefits when the Pension Plan was terminated in 2009. In the event that the Debtor does not pay these additional benefits, PBGC, as the guarantor of defined benefit pension plans, is obliged to pay such benefits. See 29 U.S.C. 4022; In re White Motor Corp., 731 F.2d 372 (6th Cir. 1984); In re Alan Wood Steel Co., 1978 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20427 (E.D. Pa. Oct. 13, 1978). Therefore, PBGC has the capacity as the Debtor's surety, to file proofs of claims against the Debtor for the amount of remaining pension benefits owed to the Plan Participants.

### C. <u>Bar Dates Do Not Apply to a Known Creditor, or Surety or Guarantor of Known Creditor, Who Was Not Afforded Due Process.</u>

When a creditor was not given notice of a proof of claim bar date that affects that creditor's substantive and property rights, such bar date cannot apply to that creditor based on constitutional due process. See City of New York v. New York, N.H. & H.R. Co., 344 U.S. 293, 296-97 (1953) (holding that under the bankruptcy act, the claims bar date cannot apply to a creditor who was not given proper notice and opportunity of such date); Perez v. Safety-Kleen Sys., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57119 (N.D. Cal. July 28, 2008) (holding that a creditor must be given reasonable notice of a claims bar date before it is effective against such creditor); In re First Magnus Fin. Corp., 415 B.R. 416, 423 (Bankr. D. Ariz. Feb. 25, 2009) (known creditors are entitled to formal notice of the bankruptcy and claims date).

Neither the Plan Participants nor PBGC were given formal notice at the time of the commencement of the Debtor's bankruptcy. Accordingly, these parties did not have notice of the Proof of Claim filing bar date until after the deadline had already expired.

Based on the fore-mentioned grounds, courts have generally allowed creditors who were not formally notified of the debtor's bankruptcy or the Proof of Claim bar date to file a proof of claim after the deadline, and have the proof of claim deemed timely filed. *See City of New York v. New York, N.H. & H.R. Co.*, 344 U.S. 293, 296-97 (1953); *In re First Magnus Fin. Corp.*, 415 B.R. 416 (Bankr. D. Ariz. Feb. 25, 2009). Therefore, the Plan Participants and PBGC should not be time-restricted by the Proof of Claim bar date because they were not given appropriate notice of such bar date, or even the commencement of the bankruptcy.

The Plan Participants did not file claims for the pension benefits still owed to them by the Debtor, therefore PBGC, as the co-debtor guarantor, is permitted to file such claims.

### D. <u>PBGC is Entitled to Relief Under Rule 9006(b)(1) Based On "Excusable"</u> <u>Neglect.</u>

Alternatively, PBGC respectively seeks to have its Proofs of Claims be deemed timely filed under Rule 9006(b)(1). Courts permit proofs of claims filed after the deadline to be deemed timely upon a showing of "excusable neglect" under Rule 9006(b)(1). See Pioneer Investment Services Co. v. Brunswick Associated Ltd. Partnership, 507 U.S. 380 (1993). The Court in Pioneer established a four-part balancing test for determining "excusable neglect" within the meaning of Rule 9006(b)(1). The four factors include: "(1) the danger of prejudice to the non-moving party, (2) the length of delay and its potential impact on judicial proceedings, (3) the reason for the delay, including whether it was within the reasonable control of the movant, and (4) whether the moving party's conduct was in good faith. Id. at 395.

In the instant case, all of the above factors warrant granting PBGC its requested relief. Deeming PBGC's contingent Proofs of Claims as timely filed would not prejudice the Debtor, especially given that the Debtor has now amended its Schedule F to include the Plan Participants. (Docket No. 214). PBGC has acted efficiently to get its Proofs of Claims on file after learning of the bar date. Furthermore, the reason for the delay was not due to PBGC's actions, PBGC had no knowledge or notice of the bankruptcy proceeding. PBGC has filed these Proofs of Claims in good faith, in order to ensure that the Plan Participants' benefits are properly protected in the Debtor's bankruptcy.

#### III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the foregoing reasons, PBGC respectfully requests that its attached Proofs of Claims be filed and deemed timely as a matter of due process and under Rule 9006(b)(1).

Respectfully Submitted,

DATED: September 8, 2014

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION

/s/ Melissa Harclerode
ISRAEL GOLDOWITZ
Chief Counsel
KAREN L. MORRIS
Deputy Chief Counsel
SARA B. EAGLE
Assistant Chief Counsel
MELISSA HARCLERODE
Attorney

PENSION BENEFIT GUARANTY CORPORATION
Office of the Chief Counsel

1200 K Street NW, Suite 340 Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 326-4020 ext. 6733

Facsimile: (202) 326-4112 Harclerode.melissa@pbgc.gov

#### **DECLARATION OF KYLE MCCORMICK**

### I, KYLE MCCORMICK, declare as follows:

- 1. I am an auditor in the Compliance and Audits Branch of the Standard Termination Compliance Division for the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation ("PBGC"). I submit this declaration in support of PBGC's Motion for an Order deeming PBGC's proofs of claims against Bay Area Financial Corporation (the "Debtor") timely filed. If called to testify, I could and would testify competently concerning the contents of this Declaration. My knowledge of the facts set forth herein is based on my personal knowledge, and my communications and discussions with the Debtor.
- 2. As an auditor for PBGC, I was assigned to conduct an audit of the Debtor's standard termination of the Bay Area Financial Corporation Defined Benefit Plan (the "Pension Plan").
- 3. On March 8, 2013, I sent an initial determination letter indicating that the Debtor must distribute additional assets to certain participants. This letter also stated that if the Debtor did not file a request for reconsideration within 30 days after the date of this determination, the findings in the initial determination letter would become final.
  - 4. The Debtor did not file a request for reconsideration within the 30 day period.
- 5. On March 16, 2014, after communicating with Mr. Gene Ferranti of Upstate Pension Actuaries, Inc. for the preceding twelve months, regarding recalculation of the additional benefits owed, the Debtor provided PBGC with final calculations for the remaining lump sum amounts owed to the Plan Participants.
- 6. On March 25, 2014, I sent an approval letter stating that PBGC had reviewed the revised calculations for the additional benefits owed the Plan Participants, had approved those

calculations, and authorized payment of those amounts. According to these calculations, Ashton Pitts was owed an additional \$115,165.37 (\$96,692.68 in benefits, plus \$18,472.69 in interest), Wilma Pitts was owed an additional \$921.34 (\$773.56 in benefits, plus \$147.78 in interest), and Elsa Raigosa was owed an additional \$2,945.72 (\$2,473.22 in benefits, plus \$472.50 in interest). These amounts included interest determined by using a Debtor prescribed interest rate of 5% starting from the date the participants received their initial distributions (September 30, 2010) until the approximated date of when the additional payments would be made to the Plan Participants (April 30, 2014).

- 7. The Debtor did not acknowledge PBGC's final approval of the Debtor's final lump sum calculations. Additionally, the Debtor did not make any additional payments to the Plan Participants.
- 8. On May 5, 2014, I attempted to contact the Debtor to inquire about the confirmation of payment of the additional benefits.
- On June 25, 2014, Charlie Kunz from Biggs & Co. contacted me to inform PBGC that the Debtor had entered into Chapter 11 bankruptcy in December 2013.
- 10. I revised the approved calculations (as noted in paragraph 6), using the Debtor's prescribed 5% rate of interest, to reflect interest from the date the initial benefit payment date only until the petition date (December 9, 2013). These revised calculations determined that Ashton Pitts is owed an additional \$112,847.79 (\$96,692.68 in benefits, and \$16,155.11), Wilma Pitts is owed an additional \$902.80 (\$773.56 in benefits, and \$129.24), and Elsa Raigosa is owed an additional \$2,886.44 (\$2,473.22 in benefits, and \$413.22).

calculations, and authorized payment of those amounts. According to these calculations, Ashton Pitts was owed an additional \$115,165.37 (\$96,692.68 in benefits, plus \$18,472.69 in interest), Wilma Pitts was owed an additional \$921.34 (\$773.56 in benefits, plus \$147.78 in interest), and Elsa Raigosa was owed an additional \$2,945.72 (\$2,473.22 in benefits, plus \$472.50 in interest). These amounts included interest determined by using a Debtor prescribed interest rate of 5% starting from the date the participants received their initial distributions [September 30, 2010] until the approximated date of when the additional payments would be made to the Plan Participants [April 30, 2014].

- 7. The Debtor did not acknowledge PBGC's final approval of the Debtor's final lump sum calculations. Additionally, the Debtor did not make any additional payments to the Plan Participants.
- 8. On May 5, 2014, I attempted to contact the Debtor to inquire about the confirmation of payment of the additional benefits.
- 9. On June 25, 2014, Charlie Kunz from Biggs & Co. contacted me to inform PBGC that the Debtor had entered into Chapter 11 bankruptcy in December 2013.
- 10. I revised the approved calculations (as noted in paragraph 6), using the Debtor's prescribed 5% rate of interest, to reflect interest from the date the initial benefit payment date only until the petition date. These revised calculations determined that Ashton Pitts is owed an additional \$112,847.79 (\$96,692.68 in benefits, and \$16,155.11), Wilma Pitts is owed an additional \$902.80 (\$773.56 in benefits, and \$129.24), and Elsa Raigosa is owed an additional \$2,886.44 (\$2,473.22 in benefits, and \$413.22).

	Case 2:13-bk-38974-TD Doc 251 Filed 09/08/14 Entered 09/08/14 13:00:05 Des Main Document Page 14 of 17	s¢		
1	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on			
2	September 4, 2014, in Washington, D.C.			
3	beptomoor 1, 2011, in 1, asimigton, 2101			
4	a/ 1 m - a = 0			
5	KYLE MCCORMICK			
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				

### PROOF OF SERVICE OF DOCUMENT

I am over the age of 18 and not a party to this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding. My business address is:

Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation Office of the Chief Counsel 1200 K St., NW, Suite 340 Washington DC 20005

A true and correct copy of the foregoing document entitled (specify): NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION TO ALLOW LATE-FILED CLAIMS; MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT; DECLARATION OF KYLE MCCORMICK IN SUPPORT THEREOF

will be served or was served (a) on the judge in chambers in the form and manner required by LBR 5005-2(d); and (b) in the manner stated below:

The Judge's Copy was sent to Judge Thomas B. Donovan at the address below, via First Class U.S. Mail, on September 8, 2014, pursuant to LBR 5005-2(d) and Appendix F of the Court Manual.

1. TO BE SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING (NEF): Pursuant to controlling General Orders and LBR, the foregoing document will be served by the court via NEF and hyperlink to the document. On September 8, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

X Service information continued on attached page

#### 2. SERVED BY UNITED STATES MAIL:

On <u>September 8, 2014</u>, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration that mailing to the judge <u>will be completed</u> no later than 24 hours after the document is filed.

U.S. Bankruptcy Court Roybal Federal Building 255 E. Temple Street Judge Thomas Donovan's Chambers – Suite 1352 Los Angeles, CA 90012

X Service information continued on attached page

for each person or e the following person such service method	ntity served): Pursuant to F.R.C s and/or entities by personal deli d), by facsimile transmission and	iv.P. 5 and/or controlling LBR, on (date), I served very, overnight mail service, or (for those who consented in writing to for email as follows. Listing the judge here constitutes a declaration lige will be completed no later than 24 hours after the document is
I declare under pena 09/08/2014	alty of perjury under the laws of to Melissa Harclerode	Service information continued on attached page ne United States that the foregoing is true and correct.  /// // // // // // // // // // // // /
Date	Printed Name	Signature

This form is mandatory. It has been approved for use by the United States Bankruptcy Court for the Central District of California.

On September 8, 2014, I checked the CM/ECF docket for this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding and determined that the following persons are on the Electronic Mail Notice List to receive NEF transmission at the email addresses stated below:

James C Bastian jbastian@shbllp.com
Counsel for Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims

Christopher L Blank clblank@pacbell.net
Counsel for interested party Christopher L. Blank

Teresa K Breman tbreman@bremanlaw.com Courtesy Notice of Electronic Filing

Richard W Brunette rbrunette@sheppardmullin.com, vlamonica@sheppardmullin.com Counsel for creditor Larry and Linda Sacks

Sandford Frey Sfrey@cmkllp.com Counsel for debtor

Leslie S Gold LGold@GershuniKatz.com
Counsel for creditor Carol George
Counsel for creditor Joanne George, Trustee of the Marcel George Family Trusts

Ira Benjamin Katz IKatz@GershuniKatz.com
Counsel for creditor Ronald S. DeFever
Counsel for creditor Carol George
Counsel for creditor Joanne George, Trustee of the Marcel George Family Trusts

Ori Katz okatz@sheppardmullin.com, ewalters@sheppardmullin.com Counsel for creditor DACA VI LLC

Paul H Kim Pkim@counsel.lacounty.gov Courtesy Notice of Electronic Filing

Stuart I Koenig Skoenig@cmkllp.com
Counsel for Debtor

Timothy Krantz krantzlaw@pacbell.net Creditor

Mary D Lane mal@msk.com, mec@msk.com Courtesy Notice of Electronic Filing

Elan S Levey elan.levey@usdoj.gov, louisa.lin@usdoj.gov Local counsel for creditor Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation

Melissa Davis Lowe mdavis@shbllp.com

Counsel for Committee of Creditors Holding Unsecured Claims

Queenie K Ng queenie.k.ng@usdoj.gov Counsel for United States Trustee (LA)

Matthew J Riopelle mriopelle@foley.com

Counsel for creditor William H. Malkmus Revocable Trust

Daren M Schlecter daren@schlecterlaw.com

Counsel for creditor International Committee of the Artists for Peace

United States Trustee (LA) ustpregion16.la.ecf@usdoj.gov United States Trustee

Marta C Wade mwade@cmkllp.com, knielsen@cmkllp.com Counsel for debtor

Andrew F Whatnall awhatnall@daca4.com
Counsel for creditor DACA VI LLC

Douglas Wolfe dwolfe@asmcapital.com Counsel for creditor ASM Capital IV, L.P.

On September 8, 2014, I served the following persons and/or entities at the last known addresses in this bankruptcy case or adversary proceeding by placing a true and correct copy thereof in a sealed envelope in the United States mail, first class, postage prepaid, and addressed as follows:

Biggs & Co. 3250 Ocean Park Blvd. Suite 350 Los Angeles, CA 90405

Liquidity Solutions, Inc. One University Plaza Suite 312 Hackensack, NJ 07601